Lists of structure factors, anisotropic thermal parameters, H-atom coordinates and complete geometry have been deposited with the British Library Document Supply Centre as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 55517 (16 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Technical Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England. [CIF reference: SP1002]

References

- Germain, G., Main, P. & Woolfson, M. M. (1971). Acta Cryst. A27, 368-376.
- Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1976). Program for crystal structure determination. Univ. of Cambridge, England.
- Stephens, F. S. (1969). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 883-890, 1248-1255, 2081-2087.
- Walsh, A., Walsh, B., Murphy, B. & Hathaway, B. J. (1981). Acta Cryst. B37, 1512–1520.

Acta Cryst. (1993). C49, 374-376

Dimanganese Octacarbonyl Compounds with Bridging Cyclohexylphosphanes

ULRICH FLÖRKE AND HANS-JÜRGEN HAUPT

Anorganische und Analytische Chemie, Universität-GH Paderborn, Warburgerstrasse 100, D-4790 Paderborn, Germany

(Received 7 July 1992; accepted 8 October 1992)

Abstract

Octacarbonyl-bis- μ -(cyclohexylphosphanido)-dimanganese, $[Mn_2{\mu-PH(C_6H_{11})}_2(CO)_8]$ (I), and octacarbonylbis- μ -(dicyclohexylphosphanido)-dimangenese, $[Mn_2-{\mu-P(C_6H_{11})}_2(CO)_8]$ (II), have as a common structural fragment two edge-sharing Mn coordination octahedra with a planar Mn_2P₂ ring. In (I), the hydrido and cyclohexyl ligands adopt *anti* positions. The average Mn—P bond lengths in (I) and (II) are 2.372(1) and 2.408(1) Å, respectively. The dicyclohexyl compound (II) has already been described [Flörke & Haupt (1993). Acta Cryst. C49. In the press] as a triclinic structure. Here we report a monoclinic modification.

Comment

Both compounds belong to a series which contain Mn_2P_2 rings and have the formula $[Mn_2(\mu-PLL')_2(CO)_8]$ [L = L'= phenyl (Masuda, Taga, Machida & Kawamura, 1987); L = L' = H (Deppisch, Schäfer, Binder & Leske, 1984);

0108-2701/93/020374-03\$06.00

L = L' = methyl (Vahrenkamp, 1978); L = H, L' = phenyl (Flörke & Haupt, 1993)]. As the geometrical centres of both molecules are situated on special sites [1 for (I), 2/m for (II)], the rings are planar. This is also true for the other structures with the phosphorus substituents dimethyl, dihydrido, and hydrido/phenyl; the exception is the diphenyl compound which has a dihedral angle of 4.1°.

Both the triclinic and the new monoclinic structure of the dicyclohexyl compound exhibit the same bonding parameters. The average Mn-P bond lengths for the triclinic and monoclinic forms are 2.411(2) and 2.408(1) Å. respectively. The Mn-P-Mn and P-Mn-P angles are 101.0(1) and 79.0(1)°, respectively, in the triclinic structure, 101.4(1) and $78.6(1)^{\circ}$ in the monoclinic. On going from the dicyclohexyl (II) to the monocyclohexyl compound (I), a decrease of the average Mn-P bond from 2.408(1) to 2.372(1) Å is evident. The corresponding endocyclic ring angles are 103.0(1)° at P and 77.0(1)° at Mn. The same effects apply in the phenyl compounds in which the average Mn-P distances decrease from 2.388(2) to 2.358(1) Å from the diphenyl to the hydrido/phenyl ligands. The ring angles are 101.2(1) and 103.1(1)° at the P atom, 78.7(1) and 76.9(1)° at Mn. As already pointed out by Deppisch et al. (1984), these endocyclic angles seem not be affected to a great extent by the kind of phosphorus ligand. Even in the dihydrido compound with the sterically least demanding ligands, these angles remain nearly the same [103.9(1) and 76.1(1)°]. The Mn-P bond distances, however, are strongly affected. These related alterations are the result of the different electronic properties of the ligands which, on going from the substitution pattern H/L to L_2 (L = phenyl or cyclohexyl), lead in each case to an increasing +I effect at the μ -P atom. As a result, the Mn-P bonds in the phenyl compounds are elongated by 0.030 Å and in the cyclohexyl compounds by 0.036 Å. In addition, the substitution of the L_2 compounds (phenyl versus cyclohexyl) increases the bonds by 0.020 Å. This electronic effect has also been observed in $(\mu_3-H)_2(\mu PL_2$)(CO)₆Re₃ (L = phenyl, cyclohexyl; Haupt, Flörke & Schnieder, 1991).



Fig. 1. Molecular structure of (I).

© 1993 International Union of Crystallography



Fig. 2. Molecular structure of (II).

 $D_{\rm x} = 1.561 {\rm Mg m^{-3}}$

Cell parameters from 30

 $0.33 \times 0.27 \times 0.15$ mm

monitored every 400

intensity variation: none

reflections

Calculated weights w =

 $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$

 $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.23 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$

 $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.22 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$

 $1/[\sigma^2(F)+0.0001F^2]$

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation

 $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$

reflections

 $\mu = 1.18 \text{ mm}^{-1}$

 $\theta = 7 - 17^{\circ}$

T = 293 K

Prism

Yellow

F(000) = 288

Experimental

Compound (I)

Crystal data $[Mn_2{PH(C_6H_{11})}_2(CO)_8]$ $M_r = 564.2$ Triclinic $P\overline{1}$ a = 8.555 (1) Å b = 8.604 (1) Åc = 9.869(1) Å $\alpha = 103.12 (1)^{\circ}$ $\beta = 115.15 (1)^{\circ}$ $\gamma = 102.19 (1)^{\circ}$ V = 600.1 (2) Å³ Z = 1

Data collection Siemens R3m/V diffractome- $R_{\rm int} = 0.013$ $\theta_{\rm max} = 27.5^{\circ}$ ter $h = 0 \rightarrow 11$ ω -2 θ scans $k=-11 \rightarrow 11$ Absorption correction: $l = -12 \rightarrow 12$ empirical via ψ scans 3 standard reflections $T_{\rm min} = 0.80, \ T_{\rm max} = 0.94$ 4273 measured reflections 2765 independent reflections 2366 observed reflections $[F>4\sigma(F)]$

Refinement

Refinement on F Final R = 0.024wR = 0.028S = 1.5202366 reflections 148 parameters

The position of the phosphine H atom was located from the difference Fourier map and refined. Other H atoms were fixed at idealized positions and not refined.

Compound (II)	
Crystal data	
$[Mn_{2}{P(C_{6}H_{11})_{2}}_{2}(CO)_{8}]$ M _r = 728.5	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å

Monoclinic
C2/m
a = 8.668 (4) Å
<i>b</i> = 23.157 (11) Å
c = 8.961 (4) Å
$\beta = 107.03 \ (4)^{\circ}$
$V = 1719.8 (14) \text{ Å}^3$
Z = 2
$D_x = 1.407 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
Data collection

Siemens R3m/V diffractometer ω -2 θ scans Absorption correction: empirical via ψ scans $T_{\rm min} = 0.70, \ T_{\rm max} = 0.83$ 4212 measured reflections 2041 independent reflections 1571 observed reflections $[F > 4\sigma(F)]$

Refinement

(I)

P1

CI

01

C2

02

C3

03 C4

04 C11

C12

C13 C14

C15

C16

(II)

Mn1 **P1**

Cl

01 C2

02 C3 **O**3 C11 C12 C13 C14 C15 C16

Mn1

Refinement on FH atoms fixed at idealized Final R = 0.040positions and not refined Calculated weights w =wR = 0.045 $1/[\sigma^2(F)+0.0001F^2]$ S = 1.776 $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$ 1571 reflections $\Delta \rho_{\text{max}} = 0.55 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ $\Delta \rho_{\text{min}} = -0.28 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ 108 parameters

Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic thermal parameters $(Å^2)$

$U_{\text{eq}} = (1/3) \sum_i \sum_j U_{ij} a_i^* a_j^* \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j.$				
x	у	Z	U_{eq}	
0.0790 (1)	0.9046 (1)	0.1567 (1)	0.032 (1)	
0.1410(1)	1.1671 (1)	0.1166(1)	0.030(1)	
0.2469 (3)	0.9904 (2)	0.3707 (2)	0.043 (1)	
0.3427 (2)	1.0406 (2)	0.5062 (2)	0.057(1)	
0.0171 (2)	0.6905 (2)	0.1621 (2)	0.039(1)	
-0.0128 (2)	0.5589 (2)	0.1708 (2)	0.057 (1)	
0.2430 (3)	0.8615 (2)	0.0911 (2)	0.040(1)	
0.3429 (2)	0.8342 (2)	0.0493 (2)	0.072 (1)	
-0.0904 (3)	0.9630 (2)	0.2056 (2)	0.041 (1)	
-0.1919 (2)	1.0031 (2)	0.2389 (2)	0.074 (1)	
0.3840 (2)	1.2880 (2)	0.1787 (2)	0.033 (1)	
0.5272 (3)	1.2872 (3)	0.3376 (2)	0.043 (1)	
0.7230 (3)	1.3903 (3)	0.3834 (3)	0.049 (1)	
0.7425 (3)	1.5706 (3)	0.3891 (2)	0.043 (1)	
0.6028 (3)	1.5715 (3)	0.2315 (3)	0.049 (1)	
0.4067 (3)	1.4719 (2)	0.1874 (2)	0.041 (1)	
0.1169(1)	0.0000	0.3562 (1)	0.030 (1)	
0.0000	0.0662(1)	0.5000	0.027 (1)	
0.2985 (5)	0.0000	0.5225 (5)	0.037 (2)	
0.4141 (4)	0.0000	0.6264 (4)	0.054 (2)	
-0.0778 (6)	0.0000	0.2022 (5)	0.035 (2)	
-0.1960 (5)	0.0000	0.1045 (4)	0.060 (2)	
0.1988 (4)	0.0550(1)	0.2556 (3)	0.042 (2)	
0.2547 (3)	0.0870(1)	0.1888 (3)	0.067 (2)	
0.1569 (3)	0.1140(1)	0.6331 (3)	0.034 (2)	
0.2447 (4)	0.1548 (1)	0.5511 (3)	0.050 (2)	
0.3867 (4)	0.1847 (2)	0.6656 (4)	0.058 (2)	
0.3370 (4)	0.2167 (2)	0.7908 (4)	0.050 (2)	
0.2523 (4)	0.1762 (2)	0.8739 (4)	0.050 (2)	
0 1083 (4)	0 1467 (1)	0.7609 (3)	0.045 (2)	

Cell parameters from 25 reflections $\theta = 6 - 15^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.84 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 293 KF(000) = 760Prism $0.32 \times 0.21 \times 0.15 \text{ mm}$ Yellow

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.027$

 $\theta_{\rm max} = 27.5^{\circ}$

 $l = 0 \rightarrow 11$

 $h = -11 \rightarrow 11$

 $k = -30 \rightarrow 30$

3 standard reflections

reflections

monitored every 400

intensity variation: none

 Table 2. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°)

(I)			
Mn1-P1	2.370 (1)	Mn1—P1A	2.374 (1)
P1-Mn1-P1A	77.0 (1)	Mn1—P1—Mn1A	103.0 (1)
(II)			
Mn1P1	2.408 (1)	Mn1—P1A	2.408 (1)
P1—Mn1—P1A	79.0 (1)	Mn1-P1-Mn1A	101.0 (1)

Compound (I) was obtained by the reaction of $Mn_2(CO)_{10}$ with cyclohexylphosphine in xylene solution in a glass tube at 443 K for 36 h and compound (II) by the reaction of $Mn_2(CO)_{10}$ with dicyclohexylphosphine dissolved in xylene in a glass tube at 433 K for 15 h. In both cases, the product was recrystallized from dichloromethane/pentane.

Scattering factors, structure solution, refinement, and all calculations for both stuctures: *SHELXTL-Plus* (Sheldrick, 1990). Other programs used: *PARST* (Nardelli, 1983).

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic thermal parameters, H-atom coordinates and complete geometry have been deposited with the British Library Document Supply Centre as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 55723 (25 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Technical Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England. [CIF reference: NA1017]

References

- Deppisch, B., Schäfer, H., Binder, D. & Leske, W. (1984). Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 519, 53-66.
- Flörke, U. & Haupt, H.-J. (1993). Acta Cryst. C49. In the press.
- Haupt, H.-J., Flörke, U. & Schnieder, H. (1991). Acta Cryst. C47, 2531– 2535.
- Masuda, H., Taga, T., Machida, K. & Kawamura, T. (1987). J. Organomet. Chem. 331, 239-245.
- Nardelli, M. (1983). Comput. Chem. 7, 95-98.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). *SHELXTL-Plus*. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments, Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Vahrenkamp, H. (1978). Chem. Ber. 111, 3472-3483.

Acta Cryst. (1993). C49, 376-377

trans-Dichlorobis(1,3-dihydrobenzo[c]thiophene-S)platinum(II)

MASOOD PARVEZ, JAMES F. FAIT, PETER D. CLARK AND COLIN G. JONES

Department of Chemistry, The University of Calgary, 2500 University Drive NW, Calgary, Alberta, Canada T2N 1N4

(Received 21 April 1992; accepted 8 September 1992)

Abstract

The asymmetric unit consists of two centrosymmetric half molecules of the title compound lying on

0108-2701/93/020376-02\$06.00

inversion centres. Both the Pt atoms have squareplanar coordination with Pt—S distances 2.313 (2) and 2.309 (2) Å and Pt—Cl distances 2.299 (2) and 2.300 (2) Å. In both molecules, benzothiophene C atoms are almost planar [maximum deviations 0.05 (1) and 0.04 (1) Å] with S atoms lying 0.434 (7) and 0.329 (6) Å out of the planes comprising C atoms.

Comment

Formation of coordination complexes between transition-metal species and organosulfur compounds could be employed as a means of removing sulfur compounds from effluents produced by pulp mills and other industrial facilities. Such complexes are also of intrinsic interest because of the fluxional behaviour of the sulfur ligand around the metal centre (Abel, 1990). The title compound (I) is an example of such a complex and was prepared by the interaction of 1,3-dihydrobenzo[c]thiophene with platinum(II) chloride in hot ethanolic solution. The crude product was purified by crystallization from ethanol. Full details of the preparative method have been published previously (Clark, Fait, Jones & Kirk, 1991).





Fig. 1. Perspective views of the two independent molecules (a) and (b) of the title compound with crystallographic numbering scheme plotted by ORTEPII (Johnson, 1976).

© 1993 International Union of Crystallography